AD-A186 344

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a.	1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS
UNCLASSIFIED	

2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY	3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT
NA	Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
NA	

4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)
FSU Technical Report No. M751	AFOSR·TR· 87·1044

6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION
Florida State University		Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NM

6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)	7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)
Department of Statistics Tallahassee, FL 32306-3033	Bldg. 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448

8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
AFOSR	NM	F49620-85-C-0007

8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.			
Bldg. 410 Bolling AFB, DC	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT NO.
	6.1102F	2304	A5	

11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Probabilistic Approach to Computational Algorithms for Finding Stationary Distributions of Markov Chains

12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Michael Taksar and Winfried K. Grassmann

13a. TYPE OF REPORT	13b. TIME COVERED	14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day)	15. PAGE COUNT
Technical	FROM _____ TO _____	October, 1986	9

16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION

COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)
FIELD	GROUP	SUB. GR.	

19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

A number of important theorems arising in connection with Gaussian elimination are derived, using semi-regenerative analysis. The implications of these theorems to find steady-state solutions of Markov chains are analysed. The results obtained in this way are then applied to quasi birth-death processes.

20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☒ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS ☐	UNCLASSIFIED

22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL
F. Proschan/M. Hollander	(203) 767 4-3218	AFOSR/NM

DD FORM 1473, 83 APR EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE. UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

PROBABILISTIC APPROACH TO COMPUTATIONAL ALGORITHMS FOR FINDING STATIONARY DISTRIBUTIONS OF MARKOV CHAINS

by

MICHAEL I. TAKSAR*
FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY
TALLAHASSEE, FL 32306

and

WINFRIED K. GRASSMANN
UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN
SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN

OCTOBER, 1986

103

Probabilistic Approach to Computational Algorithms for Finding Stationary Distributions of Markov Chains.

Michael .I. Taksar and Winfried K. Grassmann

Abstract

A number of important theorems arising in connection with Gaussian elimination are derived, using semi-regenerative analysis. The implications of these theorems to find steady-state solutions of Markov chains are analysed. The results obtained in this way are then applied to quasi birth-death processes.

Introduction

Originally, the determination of stationary distributions in Markov chains was done, using completely algebraic arguments. Unfortunately, algebraic arguments often do not take advantage of the particular structure of stochastic matrices which form the coefficients of the equilibrium equations. Recently, a new trend emerged which looks into the probabilistic interpretation of the algorithms for solving equilibrium equations. The objective of this paper is to further this trend by deriving computational algorithms from purely probabilistic arguments. The arguments employed in this paper are based on the semi-regenerative structure of Markov chains. This approach gives better insights into formal manipulations of equilibrium equations and provides probabilistic interpretations of the coefficients obtained at each step of such manipulations. This allows one in turn to make connections and draw conclusions that would not be obvious otherwise. In particular, we derives a number of theorems leading to a better understanding of Gaussian eliminatian

A-1

a semi-regenerative processes. These theorems allow one to derive relationships between certain matrix-geometric solutions and Gaussian elimination Neuts [1981], Gaver et al. [1984].

From a Markov chain $\{Y_n, n=0,1,...\}$ one can obtain a semi-regenerative process by recording the state of the chain only while it visits points of a given subset D of the state space of Y_n. Specifically, let T_n, $n = 1,2,...$, be the time of the nth visit to D and let X_n be the position of the chain at T_n. The process $\{(X_n,T_n), n=1,2,..\}$ is then a Markov renewal process (see Cinlar [1975] Chapter 10), $\{X_n, n=1,2,...\}$ is a semi-regenerative process and the T_n are the semi-regenerative epochs of the Markov renewal process. Thus, if we consider

$$A_n = \{ Y_{T_{n-1}}, Y_{T_{n-1}+1}, \ldots, Y_{T_n-1}\}$$

then the conditional distribution of the sequence $(A_n, A_{n+1},...)$, given the past of the process up to T_{n-1}, depends only on X_{n-1}, and all A_n are conditionally independent, given $(X_., T_.)$. The analysis of the behaviour of $Y_.$ from one semi-regenerative epoch to another produces the main relation between steady-state probabilities that is used for developing the algorithm. For simplicity, we assume that the Markov chain $\{Y_n, n=0,1,..\}$ is irreducible and aperiodic, and that the state space of $Y_.$ is $E=\{0,1,2,...\}$. Such a Markov chain reaches steady state (see Cinlar [1975], Chapter 8), a fact that is expressed by the following relation

$$Y_n \rightarrow Y.$$

Alternatively, one can write

$$P_i\{Y_n = j\} \rightarrow p_j , \quad j = 0,1,... ,$$

Where p_j is the distribution of $Y_.$, and $P_i\{.\} = P\{ . \,|Y_0 = i\}$. The notation E_i must be understood in a similar way.

Let

$$D = \{0,1,2,...,d-1\}$$

and

$$T = \min\{ m>0: Y_m \in D\}. \tag{1}$$

Let $1_i(Y_n)$ be the indicator function for $Y_n=i$, and let

$$v_{ij}(d) = E_i\{ \sum_{m=0}^{T} 1_j(Y_m) \} = E_i\#\{m: m < T \text{ and } Y_m = j \}. \tag{2}$$

The symbol $\#$ denotes the cardinality of a set. The expresion, $\#\{m: m > T$ and $Y_m = j \}$, in particular, is the number of times m meets the condition in question, and the quantity $v_{ij}(d)$ becomes the expected number of visits to state j prior to the exit from E-D, given one starts from the point i. The $v_{ij}(d)$ are related to the p_i according to the following theorem:

Theorem 1: Let $(p_0,p_1,...)$ be the steady-state distribution of the Markov chain Y_n. Then

$$p_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} v_{ij}(d) \, p_i . \tag{3}$$

Proof: Let

$$T_1 = T$$

$$T_{n+1} = \min\{ m>T_n: Y_m \in D \}$$

$$X_n = Y_{T_n} . \tag{4}$$

From these definitions, it follows that T_n is a stopping time, and that X_n can only assume the values $0,1,...,d-1$. As a consequence, the strong Markov property applies, which means that X_n is a Markov chain with the state space $D = \{0,1,...,d-1\}$. This chain is irreducible because the original chain Y_n is

irreducible. Let ν_i, $i = 0,1,...,d-1$ be the unique invariant distribution for Y_n.
Let $m(i) = E_i\{T\}$ be the times between regeneration, and let

$$K_n(i,j) = P_i\{X_n = j, T > n\}$$

According to Çinlar [1975], Chapter 10, Theorem (6.12)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P_i\{ Y_n = j \} = \sum_{k \varepsilon D} \nu_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n(k,j) / \sum_{k \varepsilon D} m(k)\nu_1 \qquad (5)$$

We must mention Çinlar assumes that the times between the Markov-renewals
have a continuous, aperiodic distribution. Since we are dealing with a
discrete-time Markov renewal process, the distributions in question have in
fact a periodicity of 1. However, the proof for the discrete case is basically
identical to its continous counterpart. The sum of the $K_n(k,j)$ in (5) can be
found as

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} K_m(i,j) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_i\{ Y_m = j, T > m \}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E_i(1_j(Y_m) 1_{T>m}) = v_{ij}(d). \qquad (6)$$

Since $P_i\{ Y_n = j \}$ converges to p_j, one finds from (5) and (6)

$$p_j = \sum_{i=0}^{d} v_{ij}(d)\ \nu_i / \sum_{k=0}^{d} m(k)\nu_k. \qquad (7)$$

If $i,j \varepsilon D$, $v_{ij}(d)$ is 1 if $i=j$, and zero otherwise. In this case, (6) becomes

$$p_i = \nu_i / \sum_{k=0}^{d} m(k)\nu_k, \qquad (8)$$

a relation that is also well known from semi-Markov processes. From (7) and
(8), one easily obtains (3), which proves the theorem. (3) can also be proven in
different ways (Miller [1984], Grassmann et al. [1985]), but then, the connec-

tion to semi-regenerative theory is lost.

Later on, we need to relate the $v_{ij}(k)$ for different values of i,j,k. Such relationships can be obtained from the following theorem.

Theorem 2: Let $v_{ij}(k)$ be given by (2), and let p_{ij} be the transition probabilities of the Markov chain Y_n. Then for $k > d$

$$v_{ij}(d) = v_{ij}(k) + \sum_{\nu=d}^{k-1} v_{i\nu}(d)\, v_{\nu j}(k) \qquad (9)$$

Proof: Let D consist of the first d points form 0 to d-1, and let T be defined by (1). Similarly, let K consist of the first k points from 0 to k-1, and let

$$\tau = \min\{m>0:\ Y_m \notin K\} \qquad (10)$$

Since the first visit to K occurs prior to the first visit to D, $\tau < T$, and one has

$$v_{ij}(d) = E_i(\#\{m>0:\ Y_m=j,\ m<T\}\ )$$

$$= E_i(\#\{m:\ Y_m=j,\ 0<m<\tau\}\ ) + E_i(\#\{m:\ Y_m=j,\ \tau<m<T\}\ )$$

$$= v_{ij}(k) + E_i(\#\{m:\ Y_m=j,\ \tau<m<T\}\ ). \qquad (11).$$

We now consider the successive visits to K-D. Thus, let $\xi(n)$ the nth visit to K-D, that is,

$$\xi(1) = \min\{m:\ m>0,\ Y_m \in K-D\}$$

$$\xi(n) = \min\{m:\ m>\xi(n-1),\ Y_n \in K-D\}.$$

The $\xi(n)$ are obviously stopping times, and $\xi(n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Moreover,

$$\tau = \min\{\ m>0:\ Y_m \notin K\ \} < \min\{\ m>0:\ Y_m \notin K-D\ \} = \xi(1). \qquad (11)$$

In (10), the equality holds if the first visit to K is also a visit to K-D. In a similar way, one finds from (1) and (11), provided $K \supset D$

$$\tau < T. \qquad (12)$$

(12) holds as an equalitiy if the first visit to D coincides with the first visit to K. In this case, the second term of (11) is zero. If, on the other hand, $\tau < T$,

the first visit to K precedes the first visit to D, that is, the first visit to K is a visit to K-D. Hence,

$$\tau = \xi(1) < T,$$

and one finds under this condition

$$E_i[\ \#\{m: Y_m = j, \ \tau \leqslant m < T\} \ ]$$

$$= E_i[\sum_{m=\xi(1)}^{\bullet} 1_j(Y_m) \ 1_{m<T}]$$

$$= E_i[\sum_{\nu=1}^{\bullet} \sum_{n=\xi(\nu)}^{\xi(\nu+1)-1} 1_j(Y_m) \ 1_{m<T}]$$

$$= E_i[\sum_{\nu=1}^{\bullet} \sum_{m=\xi(\nu)}^{\xi(\nu+1)-1} 1_j(Y_m) \ 1_{m<T} \sum_{n=d}^{k-1} 1_n(Y_{\xi(1)})]$$

$$= E_i[\sum_{n=d}^{k-1} [\sum_{\nu=1}^{\bullet} \sum_{m=\xi(\nu)}^{\xi(\nu+1)-1} 1_j(Y_m) \ 1_{m<T} \ 1_n(Y_{\xi(1)})]] \qquad (13)$$

We now condition on $Y_{\xi(\nu)} = u$. Because of the strong Markov property for the stopping time on $\xi(\nu)$, one has

$$E_i [\sum_{m=\xi(\nu)}^{\xi(\nu+1)-1} 1_j(Y_m) \ 1_{m<T} \ 1_n(Y_{\xi(\nu)}) \mid Y_{\xi(\nu)} = u]$$

$$= E_i[\sum_{m=0}^{\xi(1)-1} 1_j(Y_m) \ 1_{m<T} \ 1_n(Y_0) \mid Y_0 = u]$$

$$= E_n [\sum_{m=0}^{\tau-1} 1_j(Y_m) \ 1_{n=u}] = 1_{n=u} \ v_{nj}^{(k)} \qquad (14)$$

We now use (14) to write (13) as

$$E_i[\sum_{n=d}^{j-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\bullet} \sum_{m=\xi(\nu)}^{\xi(\nu+1)-1} 1_m(Y_{\xi(\nu)}) \; 1_{\xi(\nu)<T} \; v_{nj}(k)]$$

$$E_i[\sum_{n=d}^{k-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\bullet} 1_{m<T} \; 1_n(Y_m) \; v_{nj}(k)] =$$

$$\sum_{n=d}^{k-1} E_i [\sum_{m=0}^{T-1} v_{ni}(k) \; 1_n(Y_m)]$$

$$\sum_{n=d}^{\bullet} v_{nj}(k) \; v_{in}(d).$$

In summary

$$E_i[\#\{m: Y_m=j, \; \tau<m<T\}] = \sum_{n=d}^{\infty} v_{in}(d) v_{nj}(k) \tag{15}$$

If we compare (15) with (11), we obtain (3).

Corollary: For i<d

$$v_{ij}(d) = v_{ij}(d+1) + v_{id}(d) \; v_{dj}(d+1). \tag{16}$$

A similar result was obtained in Grassmann et al [1983].

Applications

The theorems above are particularly useful if the states can be partitioned into groups of states $K_0, K_1, K_2, \dots$, called levels. Level 0 consists of state 0 only, and level n, n=0,1,2,... consists of the states k_{n-1} to k_n-1. For consistency, k_0 is defined as 0. If d in equation (3) is replaced by k_d, one has

$$p_j = \sum_{i=0}^{k_d-1} p_i \ v_{ij}^{(k_d)} = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} \sum_{i \varepsilon K_n} p_i \ v_{ij}^{(k_d)} \qquad j \varepsilon K_d \qquad (17)$$

We now define the following vectors and matrices:

$$q_n = [\ p_j, \ j \varepsilon K_n \ ]$$

and

$$U_{nd} = [\ v_{ij}^{(kd)}, i \varepsilon K_n, \ j \varepsilon K_d \ ] \qquad (18)$$

Using these symbols, (17) becomes

$$q_d = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} q_n \ U_{nd} \qquad (19)$$

In many applications, one has the following: For all d within a certain range, say d>c, level d cannot be reached from level n, n<d-1. In other words, level d-1 cannot be skipped. Such levels will be called non-skippable. If d is

non-skippable, U_{d-2}, U_{d-3},... are all zero, because all $v_{ij}^{(kd)}$ vanish. In this case, (19) becomes

$$q_d = q_{d-1} \ U_{d-1,d} \qquad (2 \ )$$

Suppose now that for n within the range extending from a certain lower limit e up to $\bullet$, all levels have the following properties:

1) Level d is non-skippable

2) $k_{d+1} = k_d + a$, where a is a constant

3) $[p_i \ j+i, \ i \varepsilon K_d] = [p_i \ j+i, \ i \varepsilon K_g], \ j>0, \ g>d.$

It is easy to verify that under these conditions, $U_{d-1,d} = U_{g-1,g} = U, \ g>d$. From (20), one concludes that under these conditions, q_d is matrix-geometric (Wallace [1969], Neuts [1981], Gaver et al. [1984]), that is

$$q_{d+r} = q_d \ U^r. \qquad (21)$$

Thus, using the $v_{ij}(d)$ allows one to derive a number of results. Moreover, the $v_{ij}(d)$ can be found in many cases of practical importance. Grassmann et al [1985] showed how to find the $v_{ij}(j)$, using a modification of Gaussian elimination. Once the $v_{ij}(j)$ are determined, one can obtain the $v_{ij}(d)$, $d<j$, either using (9) or (16). Moreover, the $v_{ij}(d)$ can be grouped into matrices according to equation (18), which allows one to set up interesting relations for quasi birth-death processes, in particular equations (20) and (21).

References

E. Çinlar (1975), Introduction to Stochastic Processes, Prentice-Hall Inc.

D.P. Gaver, P.A. Jacobs, G. Latouche, Finite Birth-andDeath Models in Randomly Changing Environments, Adv. Appl. Prob. 16, pp 715-731.

W.K. Grassmann, M.I.Taksar, D.P.Heyman (1985), Regenerative analysis and Steady State Distributions for Markov Chains, Operations Research, vol 33, pp 1107-1116.

D.R. Miller (1981), Computation of Steady-State Probabilities for M/M/1 Priority Queues, Operations Research 29, pp 945-958.

M.F. Neuts (1981), Matrix-Geometric Solutions in Stochastic Models, The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.

V. Wallace (1969), The Solution of Quasi Birth and Death Processes Arising from Multiple Access Computer Systems, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Michigan.

END
12 - 87
DTIC